

# Dynamics of 2D pancake vortices in layered superconductors

A. S. Mel'nikov

*Institute for Physics of Microstructures, Russian Academy of Sciences  
603600, Nizhny Novgorod, 46 Uljanov St., Russia  
melnikov@ipm.sci-nnov.ru*

## Abstract

The dynamics of 2D pancake vortices in Josephson-coupled superconducting/normal - metal multilayers is considered within the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau theory. For temperatures close to  $T_c$  a viscous drag force acting on a moving 2D vortex is shown to depend strongly on the conductivity of normal metal layers. For a tilted vortex line consisting of 2D vortices the equation of viscous motion in the presence of a transport current parallel to the layers is obtained. The specific structure of the vortex line core leads to a new dynamic behavior and to substantial deviations from the Bardeen-Stephen theory. The viscosity coefficient is found to depend essentially on the angle  $\gamma$  between the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  and the  $\mathbf{c}$  axis normal to the layers. For field orientations close to the layers the nonlinear effects in the vortex motion appear even for slowly moving vortex lines (when the in-plane transport current is much smaller than the Ginzburg-Landau critical current). In this nonlinear regime the viscosity coefficient depends logarithmically on the vortex velocity  $V$ .

PACS numbers: 74.80.Dm, 74.20.De, 74.60.Ge

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the peculiarities of magnetic and transport properties of multilayer superconducting structures attract a great deal of attention. These investigations were stimulated, in part, by the discovery of extremely anisotropic high- $T_c$  superconductors (Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O, Tl-Ba-Ca-Cu-O) which may be considered as periodic stacks of two-dimensional (2D) superconducting layers. They pertain to a larger class of materials including quasi-2D organic superconductors, chalcogenides and artificial superconducting multilayers  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}/(Pr_xY_{1-x})Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ ,  $DyBa_2Cu_3O_7/(Pr_xY_{1-x})Ba_2Cu_3O_7$ ,  $Nb/Cu$ ,  $Nb/CuMn$ ,  $Nb/CuGe$ ,  $Nb/Al-AlO_x$  [1–7]. A common feature of these systems is the weak interlayer Josephson coupling which results in a short effective coherence length  $\xi_c$  for the order parameter spatial variation along the  $c$  direction (perpendicular to the layers). In a broad temperature range the  $\xi_c$  value may be much smaller than the interlayer distance  $D$ . This fact leads to the quasi-2D character of static and dynamic magnetic properties in these compounds. The simplest theoretical model taking account for such a behavior is the Lawrence-Doniach (LD) model [8].

Within this theory one can picture a vortex line passing through a stack of superconducting layers (at a certain angle  $\gamma$  relative to  $c$ ) as a set of 2D pancake vortices connected by Josephson vortices. A single 2D pancake vortex has its singularity (suppressed order parameter) only in one layer. Note that in a zero external magnetic field 2D pancakes may appear in internal superconducting layers due to the thermally activated nucleation of 2D vortex-antivortex pairs [9,10]. The interaction of 2D pancakes and the vortex lattice structure for various magnetic field directions were considered previously in Ref. [9–16]. This model was also used for the description of thermal fluctuations in the mixed state of high- $T_c$  superconductors [17–19].

The peculiarities of viscous flux flow, flux creep and pinning in anisotropic superconductors are also under intensive investigation. In particular, the anisotropy of the flux-flow conductivity  $\sigma_f$  was investigated previously within the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory with the anisotropic mass tensor [20–22]. The specific feature of layered compounds is the additional intrinsic pinning for the vortices which are parallel to the layers. The influence of this pinning mechanism on the vortex motion and the peculiarities of the flux-flow regime for  $H$  close to  $H_{c2}$  were theoretically studied, for example, in Ref. [23,24]. For weak magnetic fields ( $H_{c1} \lesssim H \ll H_{c2}$ ) the angular dependence of the flux-flow conductivity in highly layered superconductors was discussed in Ref. [22]. According to Ref. [22], in a tilted magnetic field in the presence of a current parallel to the layers we have the following expression for  $\sigma_f$ :

$$\sigma_f = \frac{\sigma_0 \tilde{\eta} H_{c2}(\gamma = 0)}{B |\cos \gamma|} \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is the normal-state conductivity in the plane of the layers. Note that  $\sigma_f$  does not depend on the orientation of the current with respect to the in-plane magnetic field component. The dissipation is produced by the motion of 2D vortices formed by the component  $B_c$ . The numerical factor  $\tilde{\eta}$  is determined by the core structure of a single 2D vortex and may be calculated using the Bardeen-Stephen theory or within the more exact microscopic

models (see, for instance, Ref. [25]). This simple picture is certainly valid for multilayer systems with a negligibly small conductivity  $\sigma_1$  of nonsuperconducting layers (i.e. for superconductor/insulator multilayers). The problem becomes more complicated if we consider the vortex motion in Josephson-coupled superconducting/normal - metal (S/N) multilayers. For finite conductivity  $\sigma_1$  the spatial distribution of the electric field generated by a moving 2D pancake changes essentially and the additional dissipation in normal metal layers results in the increasing of a viscous drag force acting on a moving 2D vortex. As a consequence the viscosity coefficient cannot be evaluated within the simple Bardeen-Stephen model.

In this paper we investigate the peculiarities of 2D pancake dynamics taking into account the nonzero value of the interlayer normal-state conductivity. In Sec.II for zero interlayer Josephson coupling we obtain the equation of motion for an isolated 2D vortex in the presence of an applied transport current  $\mathbf{j}_t$ . Before discussing the specific features of the 2D vortex motion, we will first briefly review some results concerning the motion of a vortex line in a 3D homogeneous superconductor. The equation for the vortex velocity  $\mathbf{V}$  in this case has the form:

$$\eta \mathbf{V} = \frac{\phi_0}{c} \mathbf{j}_t \times \mathbf{n}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit vector which points in the magnetic field direction ( $z$ -direction) and  $\phi_0$  is the flux quantum. For  $T$  close to  $T_c$  the quantity  $\eta$  may be estimated within the simple Bardeen-Stephen model or calculated more exactly using the time-dependent GL theory (see, for instance, Ref. [25]). There are two terms in the viscosity coefficient ( $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$ ) accounting for two different dissipation mechanisms: 1) the dissipation due to the relaxation of the order parameter magnitude in the vortex core; 2) the dissipation connected with the relaxation of the gauge-invariant scalar potential

$$\mu = \varphi + \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \varphi - \frac{\hbar}{2e} \mathbf{V} \nabla \theta.$$

$\varphi$  is the electric potential and  $\theta$  - the phase of the complex order parameter which is assumed to be the function of the quantity  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t$ , where  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ . The expressions for  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  may be written as follows:

$$\eta_1 = \eta_0 \int_0^\infty R \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R} \right)^2 dR = 0.279 \eta_0 \quad (3)$$

$$\eta_2 = \eta_0 \alpha = \eta_0 \frac{1}{\pi V^2} \int \rho^2 (\mathbf{V} \nabla \theta) \left( \mathbf{V} \nabla \theta - \frac{2e}{\hbar} \varphi \right) d^2 R = -\eta_0 \frac{2e}{\pi \hbar V^2} \int \rho^2 (\mathbf{V} \nabla \theta) \mu d^2 R \quad (4)$$

$$\eta_0 = \frac{\sigma_0 u \phi_0 H_{c2}}{2c^2}$$

where  $\rho \exp(i\theta)$  is the equilibrium order parameter for a vortex line and  $R$  - the distance from the vortex line axis. The function  $\varphi$  satisfies the following equation

$$\xi^2 \Delta \varphi = u \rho^2 \left( \varphi - \frac{\hbar}{2e} \mathbf{V} \nabla \theta \right),$$

where  $\xi$  is the coherence length. The numerical factor  $u$  is determined by the pair-breaking mechanism. If the lack of the energy gap is connected with the strong electron-phonon relaxation, then  $u = 5.79$  (Ref. [26]). For superconductors with high concentration of magnetic impurities we have  $u = 12$  (Ref. [27]) and  $\alpha = 0.159$  (see Ref. [28,29]).

Both the dissipation mechanisms take place for a moving 2D pancake. The first term in the viscosity coefficient ( $\eta_1$ ) is determined only by the spatial distribution of  $\rho(\mathbf{R})$  in the film plane and may be calculated in analogy with the case of a 3D vortex line (Eq. (3)). The second term ( $\eta_2$ ) depends essentially on the  $\mu$  potential distribution. For a moving 3D vortex line  $\mu$  does not depend on  $z$  and decays at a characteristic length  $\sim \xi$  from the vortex line axis. For a 2D pancake moving in the  $n$ -th superconducting film of the multilayer structure the asymptotic behavior of the  $\mu$  potential is essentially different. The normal currents in nonsuperconducting layers lead to the penetration of the field  $\mathbf{E}$  generated by a moving 2D vortex at a finite length along the  $z$ -axis normal to the layers and, as a consequence, to the decreasing of  $\varphi$  in the plane  $z = nD$  ( $D$  is the distance between superconducting layers). It will be shown in Sec.II that at large distances  $R$  from the 2D vortex center  $\mu(R, z = nD)$  decreases as  $R^{-1}$  to the distances

$$R \sim L_v = \frac{8(T_c - T)\xi^2}{\pi\hbar V},$$

where  $\xi$  is the coherence length in superconducting layers. Such an extremely slow decay of  $\mu(R)$  results in the logarithmic divergence of the integral in the expression (4) for  $\eta_2$  (since  $(\mathbf{V}\nabla\theta) \sim R^{-1}$  and  $\rho(R) \simeq 1$  for  $R \gg \xi$ ). This divergence is cut off at a large length scale  $L_v$ .

These arguments show that in a 2D pancake motion equation we should take into account not only the terms linear in  $V$  but also the terms of the order of  $V \ln(L_v/\xi) = V \ln(V_c/V)$ , where  $V_c = 8(T_c - T)\xi/\pi\hbar$ . From this point of view the situation here is similar to the one taking place for a vortex line in a neutral superfluid [30,31]. The equation of motion for a 2D pancake vortex appears to be essentially nonlinear. The viscosity coefficient depends strongly on the  $\sigma_1$  value and on the vortex velocity  $V$ . These results indicate a breakdown of linear response within the employed model. However one may expect linear response to hold for more realistic models which consider, for instance, the viscous motion of vortex lines consisting of 2D pancakes and take into account the interlayer Josephson coupling. In this case the logarithmic divergence of  $\eta$  may be cut off at a characteristic length which is either the intervortex spacing or the Josephson length (see Sec.III).

An isolated 2D vortex is surely energetically unfavourable but the solution of this problem is important for understanding of the peculiar dynamics of a tilted vortex line. This dynamics is investigated in Sec.III. The viscosity coefficient for a vortex line (and hence the factor  $\tilde{\eta}$  in Eq. (1)) are shown to depend on the angle  $\gamma$ . For field orientations close to the layers the qualitative deviations from the Bardeen-Stephen theory become substantial. The nonlinear effects in the vortex line motion are also considered. The mechanism of these nonlinear phenomena is specific for S/N multilayers and differs essentially from the one proposed in Ref. [32,33] for moving flux lines in 3D homogeneous superconductors.

In real layered structures the interlayer Josephson coupling may be essential and the effect of this coupling on the 2D pancake dynamics should be taken into account. For rather small velocities  $V$  and large angles  $\gamma$  the logarithmic divergence of  $\eta$  discussed above may be

cut off at a length scale set by the Josephson critical current  $J_c$ . The logarithmic dependence of  $\eta(V)$  takes place above a critical velocity determined by the  $J_c$  value. We will discuss these effects qualitatively in Sec.III.

## II. VISCOUS MOTION OF A SINGLE 2D VORTEX

Let us consider an infinite stack of thin superconducting films of thickness  $d$  separated by normal metal layers of thickness  $D \gg d$ . A good theoretical starting point for the description of static magnetic properties of this system is known to be the LD model. For the analysis of the dynamic phenomena (for  $T$  close to  $T_c$ ) one may use the simple generalization of this model (see, for instance, Ref. [24]) which can be written in analogy to the time-dependent GL model in 3D homogeneous superconductors. It is well-known that such an approach corresponds to the real situation for gapless superconductors.

In this section we will neglect the Josephson coupling of superconducting layers (the effect of this coupling will be discussed in Sec.III). For this particular case the general equations of the LD model are diagonal in the layer index  $n$ . The equations for the order parameter  $\rho_n \exp(i\theta_n)$  and the current density in the superconducting layer  $n$  have the form:

$$\frac{\pi\hbar}{8(T_c - T)} \frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial t} = \xi^2 \nabla_{\perp}^2 \rho_n + \rho_n - \rho_n^3 - \xi^2 \rho_n \left( \nabla_{\perp} \theta_n - \frac{2e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}_{\perp n} \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\pi\hbar}{8(T_c - T)} \rho_n^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta_n}{\partial t} + \frac{2e}{\hbar} \varphi_n \right) = \xi^2 \nabla_{\perp} \left( \rho_n^2 \left( \nabla_{\perp} \theta_n - \frac{2e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}_{\perp n} \right) \right) \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_n = \frac{\hbar c^2}{8\pi e \lambda^2} \rho_n^2 \left( \nabla_{\perp} \theta_n - \frac{2e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}_{\perp n} \right) + \sigma_0 \mathbf{E}_{\perp n} = \mathbf{j}_{sn} + \sigma_0 \mathbf{E}_{\perp n} \quad (7)$$

Here (xy) is the film plane,  $\varphi_n(x, y) = \varphi(x, y, z = nD)$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{\perp n} = \mathbf{A}_{\perp}(x, y, z = nD)$ - the vector potential component perpendicular to the  $z$ -axis,  $\sigma_0 \mathbf{E}_{\perp n}$ - the quasiparticle current density,  $\lambda$ - the London penetration depth,  $\nabla_{\perp} = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ ,  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_{\perp n} = \mathbf{E}_{\perp}(x, y, z = nD)$ .

Note that the superconducting layers are assumed to have a negligible thickness. In normal metal layers the expression for the current is:  $\mathbf{j} = \sigma_1 \mathbf{E}$ . Using the total current conservation condition we can obtain the equation for  $\mathbf{E}$  in nonsuperconducting layers and the boundary condition for  $E_z$  at  $z = nD$ :

$$\text{div} \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{d} (E_z(z = nD + 0) - E_z(z = nD - 0)) = -\text{div} \mathbf{j}_n \quad (9)$$

In the absence of an applied transport current the phase distribution  $\theta_n$  for a 2D pancake in the film  $n = 0$  is

$$\nabla_{\perp} \theta_n = \frac{\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{r}_0}{r} \delta_{n0}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{r}_0$  are unit vectors of the cylindrical coordinate system. The magnetic field distribution for a 2D pancake was found in Ref. [11,12]. In the limit  $\lambda^2/(Dd) \gg 1$  the expression for the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  is:

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\hbar c}{2er} \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\Lambda}} \left( \exp \left( -|z| \sqrt{\frac{2}{\Lambda D}} \right) - \exp \left( -\sqrt{\frac{2(z^2 + r^2)}{\Lambda D}} \right) \right) \mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{r}_0, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d$ . One can see that  $\mathbf{A}$  at  $z = 0$  appears to be small in comparison with the value  $\frac{\hbar c}{e} \nabla \theta$  if  $dD/\lambda^2 \ll 1$ . This fact results, in particular, in the logarithmic divergence of a 2D pancake energy and in the logarithmic interaction of 2D pancakes in the vortex-antivortex pair for arbitrary separations [11,12]. Below we will consider only the case  $dD/\lambda^2 \ll 1$  since this condition may be easily fulfilled in real superconducting superlattices at least for temperatures close to  $T_c$ . This approximation allows us to neglect the magnetic field generated by the moving 2D vortex in Eqs. (5)-(7). For the analysis of the problem of a 2D vortex motion we choose the coordinate system  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t$  moving with the vortex velocity  $\mathbf{V}$  and assume that the functions  $\rho_n, \theta_n, \varphi$  depend on  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $t$  in the following way:

$$\rho_n = \rho_n(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t), \quad \varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t, z), \quad \theta_n = \theta_{nv}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t) + \theta_n^t, \quad (12)$$

where  $\theta_n^t$  is the phase distribution corresponding to the transport current

$$\mathbf{j}_t = \frac{\hbar c^2}{8\pi e \lambda^2} \left( \nabla_{\perp} \theta_n^t - \frac{2e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}_{\perp n}^t \right) = \frac{\hbar c^2}{8\pi e \lambda^2} \mathbf{K}_n \quad (13)$$

The quantity  $\theta_{nv}$  meets the conditions:  $\nabla_{\perp} \theta_{nv} \rightarrow 0$  if  $|\mathbf{R}| \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\text{rot} \nabla_{\perp} \theta_{nv} = 2\pi \delta_{n0} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t) \mathbf{z}_0 \quad (14)$$

The functions  $\rho_n, \theta_{nv}, \varphi$  may be obtained from the following set of equations:

$$-\frac{\pi \hbar}{8(T_c - T)} \mathbf{V} \nabla_{\perp} \rho_n = \xi^2 \nabla_{\perp}^2 \rho_n + \rho_n - \rho_n^3 - \xi^2 \rho_n (\nabla_{\perp} \theta_{nv} + \mathbf{K}_n)^2 \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\pi \hbar}{8(T_c - T)} \rho_n^2 \left( -\mathbf{V} \nabla_{\perp} \theta_{nv} + \frac{2e}{\hbar} \varphi_n \right) = \xi^2 \nabla_{\perp} \left( \rho_n^2 (\nabla_{\perp} \theta_{nv} + \mathbf{K}_n) \right) \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{d} (\varphi'_z(z = nD + 0) - \varphi'_z(z = nD - 0)) = -\Delta \varphi_n + \frac{u}{\xi^2} \rho_n^2 \left( \varphi_n - \frac{\hbar}{2e} \mathbf{V} \nabla_{\perp} \theta_{nv} \right), \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 / \sigma_0 \quad , \quad u = \frac{\hbar c^2}{32 \lambda^2 \sigma_0 (T_c - T)}$$

The length  $\xi/\sqrt{u}$  is the electric field penetration depth. As was pointed out in Introduction, the coefficient  $u$  may be calculated within the microscopic theory (see Ref. [26,27]). In normal metal layers the equation for the scalar potential  $\varphi$  is:

$$\Delta \varphi = 0 \quad (18)$$

We examine at first the  $\theta_{nv}$  and  $\varphi$  distributions for  $|\mathbf{R}| \gg \xi$ . In this case one can put  $\rho_n \simeq 1$ . The functions  $\mathbf{a}_n = \nabla \theta_{nv}$  and  $\varphi$  may be obtained from the system of equations (14),(16)-(18). Let us further introduce the 2D Fourier transform:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}, z) = \int \varphi(\mathbf{R}, z) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) d^2 R \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k}) = \int \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{R}) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) d^2 R \quad (20)$$

In the Fourier representation we get the equations:

$$-i\xi^2 \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_n = \frac{\pi\hbar}{8(T-T_c)} \left( \frac{2e}{\hbar} \varphi_n - \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{a}_n \right) \quad (21)$$

$$-i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{a}_n = 2\pi\delta_{n0}\mathbf{z}_0 \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} - k^2 \varphi = 0, \quad \text{for } nD < z < (n+1)D \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{d}(\varphi'_z(z = nD + 0) - \varphi'_z(z = nD - 0)) = (k^2 + u/\xi^2)\varphi_n - \frac{\hbar u}{2e\xi^2} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{a}_n \quad (24)$$

For  $nD < z < (n+1)D$  the solution of Eq. (23) has the form:

$$\varphi = c_n \exp(kz) + d_n \exp(-kz)$$

Using Eq. (24) and the condition  $\varphi(|z| \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$  we may calculate the coefficients  $c_n, d_n$  and obtain

$$\varphi_n = \frac{\pi\hbar u V_c d}{2e\sigma k \xi} (\mathbf{k} \cdot [\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{z}_0]) \frac{sh(kD)}{sh(QD)} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V} - i\xi V_c k^2)^{-1} \exp(-QD |n|) \quad (25)$$

$$V_c = \frac{8(T_c - T)\xi}{\pi\hbar}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_n = \frac{2e\varphi_n \mathbf{k}/\hbar - 2\pi V_c \xi \delta_{n0} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{z}_0 - 2\pi i \delta_{n0} \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{z}_0}{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V} - i\xi V_c k^2} \quad (26)$$

The  $Q$  value is determined by the equation:

$$ch(QD) = ch(kD) + \frac{sh(kD)d}{2k\sigma} \left( k^2 - \frac{i u \xi^{-1} V_c k^2}{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V} - i\xi V_c k^2} \right) \quad (27)$$

Let us consider the  $\mathbf{k}$ -domain  $L_v^{-1} \ll |\mathbf{k}| \ll \xi^{-1}$ , where

$$L_v = \frac{8(T_c - T)\xi^2}{\pi\hbar V} = \frac{V_c \xi}{V}.$$

This  $\mathbf{k}$  domain correspondes to the distances  $\xi \ll |\mathbf{R}| \ll L_v$  and appears to be rather large for all velocities  $V \ll V_c$  (we will show below that the last condition is fulfilled for all  $j_t$  values which are much less than the GL critical current  $j_c$ ). In this domain in the linear approximation in  $V$  we get the following expressions for  $\varphi$  and the phase gradient in the layer  $n = 0$ :

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{i\pi\hbar}{e} \frac{(\mathbf{V} \cdot [\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{k}])}{k^2 F(k)} \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = \frac{2\pi i \mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{k}}{k^2} + \frac{2\pi \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{V} \cdot [\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{k}])}{V_c \xi k^4} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{F(k)} \right) \quad (29)$$

$$F(k) = \left( (1 + k^2 \xi^2 / u)^2 + 4k^2 D^2 s^2 + 4kDs(1 + k^2 \xi^2 / u) \text{cth}(kD) \right)^{1/2}; \quad s = \frac{\xi^2 \sigma}{u d D}$$

We consider here only the case of small  $V$  values for which the condition

$$L_v \gg L_m = \max[\xi, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{u}}, D, \sqrt{s}D]. \quad (30)$$

is fulfilled. For the domain  $L_m \ll |\mathbf{R}| \ll L_v$  we have:

$$\varphi_0 \simeq \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{(\mathbf{V} \cdot [\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{R}_0])}{R\sqrt{1+4s}} \quad (31)$$

$$\nabla_{\perp} \theta_0 \simeq \frac{\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{R}_0}{R} + \frac{1}{2V_c \xi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4s}}\right) \left(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{z}_0 \ln \left(\frac{C\xi V_c}{RV}\right) - \mathbf{R}_0(\mathbf{R}_0 \cdot [\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{z}_0])\right) \quad (32)$$

where  $C$  is the constant of the order unity.

The asymptotic behavior of the  $\mu(R, z=0)$  potential in the linear approximation in  $V$  for  $R \gg L_m$  may be defined from Eqs. (31),(32). At large  $R$  values  $\mu(R, z=0)$  decreases as  $R^{-1}$  to the distances  $R \sim L_v$  (for a nonzero  $\sigma_1$  value). Such an extremely slow decay of  $\mu(R)$  is caused by the normal currents in nonsuperconducting layers. The field  $\mathbf{E}$  generated by a moving 2D vortex penetrates at a finite length  $1/Q$  along the  $z$ -axis which results in the decreasing of  $\varphi$  in the plane  $z=0$ . For example, for  $s \gg 1$  (which is possible in the vicinity of  $T_c$  and for not very small  $\sigma$  values) and  $R \gg L_m$  we obtain:  $1/Q \simeq D\sqrt{s}$ ,  $\varphi(z=0) \ll \frac{\hbar}{2e} \mathbf{V} \nabla \theta_0$ . The specific behavior of  $\mu(R)$  results in the logarithmic divergence of the viscosity coefficient which is cut off at a large length scale  $L_v$  (see Eq. (4)).

To derive a 2D pancake motion equation we use the procedure which is similar to the perturbation method developed in Ref. [25,31]. Let us consider the region  $R \ll \min[L_v, L_t]$  ( $L_t$  - the characteristic length scale of the  $j_t$  variation) and search for the solution of Eqs. (15),(16) in the form:

$$\rho_0 = f + g; \quad \theta_{0v} = \chi + \tau \quad (33)$$

where  $f \exp(i\chi)$  is the order parameter for a static 2D pancake,  $g$  and  $\tau$  - small corrections which are of the order of  $V$  and  $V \ln(V_c/V)$ . Hereafter we neglect the higher order terms in  $V$ . The equations for the corrections  $g$  and  $\tau$  are

$$-\frac{\xi}{V_c} \mathbf{V} \nabla f = \xi^2 \Delta g + g - 3gf^2 - \xi^2 g(\nabla \chi)^2 - 2\xi^2 f \nabla \chi (\nabla \tau + \mathbf{K}_0) \quad (34)$$

$$f^2(2e\varphi_0/\hbar - \mathbf{V} \nabla \chi) = \xi V_c \nabla (f^2(\nabla \tau + \mathbf{K}_0) + 2fg \nabla \chi) \quad (35)$$

Note that for  $V=0$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_0=0$ ,  $\varphi_0=0$  the quantities  $\chi_p = (\mathbf{p} \nabla) \chi$ ,  $f_p = (\mathbf{p} \nabla) f$  ( $\mathbf{p}$  - an arbitrary translation vector in the plane  $(xy)$ ) will solve the equations (34),(35) for  $g$  and  $\tau$ . We next multiply Eq. (34) by  $f_p$  and integrate over the area  $S$  of the circle  $|\mathbf{R}| \leq R_1$  where  $R_1$  meets the condition  $L_m \ll R_1 \ll \min[L_v, L_t]$ . After simple transformations (using Eq. (35)) one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S f_p \mathbf{V} \nabla f d^2 R - \int_S f^2 \chi_p (2e\varphi_0/\hbar - \mathbf{V} \nabla \chi) d^2 R = \\ & = \xi V_c \oint_L \mathbf{R}_0 (\nabla \chi_p (\tau + \mathbf{K}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R}) - \chi_p (\nabla \tau + \mathbf{K}_0)) dl, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$



where the circuit  $L$  encloses the area  $S$ . The quantity  $\tau(R = R_1)$  may be taken from Eq. (32) and the potential  $\varphi_0$  - from Eq. (28). Evaluating the integrals in Eq. (36) to the logarithmic accuracy we finally obtain:

$$\eta_0 \left( \beta + \ln \left( \frac{1 + 2sR_m/\xi}{1 + 2s} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4s}} \right) \ln \frac{L_v}{R_m} \right) \mathbf{V} = \frac{\phi_0}{c} \mathbf{j}_t \times \mathbf{z}_0 \quad (37)$$

$$R_m = \max[\xi, D]$$

Here the constant  $\beta$  is of the order unity. The term  $\eta_0\beta$  is connected with the dissipation in the domain  $R \lesssim \xi$ . Note that we assumed that  $u \gtrsim 1$  which is consistent with the microscopic theory results for gapless superconductors. It is easy to see from Eq. (37) that the condition  $V_c/V \gg 1$  is fulfilled for all  $j_t \ll j_c$ :

$$\frac{V_c}{V} = \frac{L_v}{\xi} \gtrsim \frac{c\eta_0 V_c}{\phi_0 j_t} \sim \frac{j_c}{j_t} \gg 1$$

For  $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$  we have  $s \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha + 0.279$  and Eq. (37) reduces to the usual equation

$$\eta \mathbf{V} = \frac{\phi_0}{c} \mathbf{j}_t \times \mathbf{z}_0 \quad (38)$$

with the coefficient  $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$  determined by Eqs. (3),(4). For a nonvanishing conductivity  $\sigma_1$  the main contribution to the viscosity coefficient comes from the region  $\max[\xi, D] \ll R \ll L_v$ . Since the parameter  $s = \xi^2 \sigma / (udD)$  depends on  $T$  as  $(T_c - T)^{-1}$  we come to the conclusion that near  $T_c$  (if  $s \gg 1$ ) the 2D pancake motion equation appears to be essentially nonlinear. We may neglect the constant  $\beta$  and keep only the logarithmically large terms in Eq. (37). With the temperature decreasing the logarithmic term proportional to  $\ln(V_c/V)$  will give the dominant contribution only for small velocities  $V$ .

All the results obtained above are relevant only to the case of an isolated 2D pancake. In real situation we should consider a set of such 2D vortices which form, for instance, a vortex line lattice inclined at a certain angle  $\gamma$  with respect to the  $z$ -axis. It is important to note that if the distances between 2D pancakes are less than  $L_v$  then we can not use directly Eq. (37) for each 2D vortex. The thing is that neighbouring 2D pancakes may change essentially the  $\mu$  potential distribution in the vicinity of the given 2D pancake ( for  $R \lesssim L_v$ ). Therefore, the dissipation in such a system may depend on the arrangement of 2D pancakes.

### III. VISCOUS MOTION OF A VORTEX LINE

In this section we study the motion of a single tilted vortex line in the presence of an applied transport current parallel to the layers. At first let us discuss briefly the case of a static vortex line which lies in the  $(xz)$  plane, contains the origin and forms the angle  $\gamma$  with the  $z$  axis. In the layer  $n$  the 2D vortex center is at  $\mathbf{r}_n = (nD \tan \gamma, 0)$ . Therefore, we have a discrete sequence of phase singularities situated in the layers:

$$\text{rot} \nabla \theta_n = 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n) \mathbf{z}_0 \quad (39)$$

The angle  $\gamma$  depends on the magnitude and direction of the applied magnetic field ( $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{z}_0 H \cos \tilde{\gamma} + \mathbf{x}_0 H \sin \tilde{\gamma}$ ), which determine the energetically favourable vortex structure. In the limit of zero interlayer Josephson coupling the field component parallel to the layers is unscreened and penetrates the structure unperturbed, while the perpendicular component creates a vortex lattice normal to the layers (the so-called “decomposition property” [15]). As a consequence, tilted vortices cannot be formed in a tilted external field if the interlayer Josephson critical current is zero. It is, therefore, very important to understand the effect of a small Josephson coupling. For a static vortex lattice this problem has been previously treated within the LD theory (Ref. [13,15,16]). The tilted vortex lattice was shown to be energetically favourable for a wide domain of  $H$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . For the field orientation close to the layer direction this tilted lattice may transform into the lattice parallel to the layers (the orientational lock-in transition [34]) or into a new type of vortex arrangement which consists of sets of coexisting parallel and perpendicular vortices [13].

The core structure for a tilted vortex line was examined in detail in Ref. [13,15]. It was found that this structure depends essentially on the ratio  $Dtg\gamma/L_j$  where

$$L_j = \sqrt{\frac{d\hbar c^2}{8\pi e\lambda^2 J_c}}$$

is the Josephson length. The case  $tg\gamma > L_j/D$  corresponds to the formation of Josephson-like vortex cores connecting 2D pancakes. Hereafter we will consider mainly the other angular domain  $tg\gamma < L_j/D$ . In this case the in-plane currents are much larger than the Josephson currents. This fact provides a possibility to neglect the Josephson coupling in calculations of the vortex viscosity  $\eta$ .

As was pointed out in Sec.II, the dissipation produced by moving 2D pancakes may depend on their arrangement. The validity of this assumption will be demonstrated in this section for the particular case of a tilted flux line. For rather small velocities the logarithmic divergency of the viscosity coefficient is cut off at a length scale determined by the size of the so-called effective core [15] (i.e. at the length  $Dtg\gamma$ ). One can say that the main contribution to the dissipation for magnetic field orientations close to the layers comes exactly from the effective core region. In this paper we restrict ourselves to weak magnetic fields and consider the case when the effective cores in the tilted vortex lattice do not overlap. Such an approach is valid if  $Dtg\gamma \ll a_x$  where  $a_x$  is the distance in the  $x$ -direction between 2D vortices in the same plane but pertaining to neighbouring vortex lines. It was shown in Ref. [15] that this condition is fulfilled for

$$H < \frac{\phi_0}{\pi D^2 tg\tilde{\gamma}}; \quad H \ll H_{c2}$$

For a moving vortex line we assume the following dependence of the quantities  $\rho_n, \theta_n, \varphi$  on the variables  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $t$ :

$$\rho_n = \rho(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n), \quad \varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{R}, z), \quad \theta_n = \theta_{nv} + \theta_n^t = \theta_v(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n) + \theta_n^t, \quad (40)$$

Here  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{V}t$  and the quantity  $\theta_n^t$  corresponds to the transport current (13). We will examine at first the behavior of the functions  $\theta_v$  and  $\varphi$  in the domain  $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| \gg \xi$  ( $\rho_n \simeq 1$ ). At the same time we consider only the regions  $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| \ll \lambda$  which gives us a possibility

to neglect the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let us introduce the function  $\mathbf{a} = \nabla\theta_v$ . Using the 2D Fourier transform

$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}, z) = \int \varphi(\mathbf{R}, z) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) d^2R$$

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) = \int \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{R}) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}) d^2R$$

and Eqs. (16)-(18),(39) we obtain:

$$-i\xi^2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \exp(ik_x n D t g \gamma) = \frac{\pi \hbar}{8(T - T_c)} \left( \frac{2e}{\hbar} \varphi_n - (\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \exp(ik_x n D t g \gamma) \right) \quad (41)$$

$$-i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{a} = 2\pi \mathbf{z}_0 \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} - k^2 \varphi = 0, \quad \text{for } nD < z < (n+1)D \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{d}(\varphi'_z(z = nD + 0) - \varphi'_z(z = nD - 0)) = (k^2 + u/\xi^2)\varphi_n - \frac{\hbar u}{2e\xi^2}(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \exp(ik_x n D t g \gamma) \quad (44)$$

After the solution of this system we have the following expressions for the phase gradient  $\mathbf{a}$  and the  $\varphi$  potential in the plane  $z = 0$ :

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{2\pi P(k) \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{z}_0 - 2\pi i V_c \xi \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{z}_0}{V_c \xi k^2 + i P(k) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}} \quad (45)$$

$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}, z = 0) = \frac{i\pi \hbar}{e} (P(k) - 1) \frac{(\mathbf{V} \cdot [\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{z}_0])}{k^2 + i P(k) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V} / (V_c \xi)} \quad (46)$$

$$P(k) = 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{k^2 \xi^2}{u} + 2s \frac{kD}{sh(kD)} (ch(kD) - \cos(k_x D t g \gamma)) \right)^{-1}$$

Let us now examine the case of small velocities  $V$  for which the condition

$$L_v \gg \max[\xi, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{u}}, D, D\sqrt{s}, D t g \gamma]$$

is fulfilled. To solve Eqs. (15),(16) for the layer  $n = 0$  we use the perturbation method considered in Sec.II. After the similar procedure one obtains the equation (36) where the radius  $R_1$  meets the condition

$$\max[\xi, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{u}}, D, D\sqrt{s}, D t g \gamma] \ll R_1 \ll L_v.$$

Using Eqs. (45),(46) we may evaluate the integrals in Eq. (36) taking into account only the first order corrections in  $V$ . One finally has the equation of the vortex line motion in the form (38) where the viscosity  $\eta$  is given by the expression:

$$\eta \simeq \eta_0 \left( \beta_0 + \int_0^{1/\xi} \frac{P(k) d^2 k}{2\pi k^2} \right) \quad (47)$$

Here  $\beta_0$  is the constant of the order unity which is determined by the dissipation processes in the regions  $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| \lesssim \xi$ . We evaluated the integral in Eq. (47) to the logarithmic accuracy (i.e. for the case when the main contribution to  $\eta$  comes from the domain  $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| > \xi$ ) and obtained:

$$\eta \simeq \eta_0 \left( \tilde{\beta} + \ln \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma D}{ud \cos^2 \gamma}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma D}{ud}} \right) \right) \quad \begin{matrix} 1. D \ll \xi, Dtg\gamma \ll \xi, u \gtrsim 1 \end{matrix} \quad (48)$$

$$\eta \simeq \eta_0 \left( \tilde{\beta} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4s}} \right) \ln(Dtg\gamma/\xi) + \ln\sqrt{1+s} \right) \quad \begin{matrix} 2. D \ll \xi, Dtg\gamma \gg \xi, u \gtrsim 1 \end{matrix} \quad (49)$$

$$\eta \simeq \eta_0 \left( \tilde{\beta} + \ln \left( \frac{(1+2sD/\xi)\sqrt{1+s}}{1+2s} \right) \right) \quad \begin{matrix} 3. D \gg \xi, tg\gamma \ll 1, u \gtrsim 1 \end{matrix} \quad (50)$$

$$\eta \simeq \eta_0 \left( \tilde{\beta} + \ln \left( \frac{(1+2sD/\xi)\sqrt{1+s}}{1+2s} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4s}} \right) \ln(tg\gamma) \right) \quad \begin{matrix} 4. D \gg \xi, tg\gamma \gg 1, u \gtrsim 1 \end{matrix} \quad (51)$$

The quantity  $\tilde{\beta}$  is of the order unity. These results show that for  $L_v \gg Dtg\gamma \gg \max[D, \xi]$  the logarithmic divergence of the viscosity  $\eta$  is cut off at the length  $Dtg\gamma$ , i.e. at the distance between neighbouring 2D pancakes. This fact results in the angular dependence of the vortex line viscosity.

It is natural to suppose that for the case  $L_v < Dtg\gamma$  the main contribution to the dissipation produced by each 2D pancake moving in the  $n$ -th layer comes from the distances  $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| \lesssim L_v$ . As a result the viscosity of a tilted vortex line will depend logarithmically on  $V$  (see also Sec.II). This supposition is proved by direct calculations. For the domain  $L_v^{-1} \ll k \ll \xi^{-1}$  within the linear approximation in  $V$  Eqs. (45),(46) may be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \frac{2\pi i \mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{k}}{k^2} + \frac{2\pi P(k) \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{V} \cdot [\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{k}])}{V_c \xi k^4} \quad (52)$$

$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}, z=0) \simeq (1 - P(k)) \frac{i\pi \hbar (\mathbf{V} \cdot [\mathbf{z}_0 \times \mathbf{k}])}{ek^2} \quad (53)$$

Let us now assume that  $L_m \ll L_v \ll Dtg\gamma$ . Then for the region  $L_m \ll R \ll L_v$  one obtains the expressions for  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\varphi(\mathbf{R}, z=0)$  which coincide with Eqs. (31),(32). To derive the vortex motion equation we should perform the procedure described in Sec.II choosing the  $R_1$  value according to the condition  $L_m \ll R_1 \ll L_v$ . The final equation for  $\mathbf{V}$  coincides with Eq. (37). The condition  $L_v \ll Dtg\gamma$  is fulfilled for vortex velocities  $V \gg V^* = V_c \xi / (Dtg\gamma)$  which correspond to in-plane transport current densities  $j_t \gg j^*$  where

$$j^* = \frac{j_c \xi}{Dtg\gamma} \left( \tilde{\beta} + \ln \left( \frac{(1+2sR_m/\xi)\sqrt{1+s}}{1+2s} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4s}} \right) \ln \left( \frac{Dtg\gamma}{R_m} \right) \right) \quad (54)$$

Note that the results obtained above are valid only for the case  $j_t \ll j_c$ . In the angular interval  $tg\gamma \gg \xi/D$  the current density  $j^*$  may be much less than  $j_c$  and there exists a current domain  $j^* \ll j_t \ll j_c$  where the vortex line viscosity depends logarithmically on  $V$ .

Taking into account the expression for the electric field  $\bar{\mathbf{E}} = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$  one obtains

$$\bar{\mathbf{E}} = \frac{2B\eta_0}{\sigma_0 u H_{c2} \eta} (\mathbf{j}_t (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{z}_0) - \mathbf{z}_0 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{j}_t)) \quad (55)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit vector parallel to  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $H_{c2}$  - the upper critical field for  $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{z}_0$ . The flux-flow conductivity  $\sigma_f$  may be written as follows:

$$\sigma_f = \frac{\sigma_0 u H_{c2} \eta}{2B\eta_0 |\cos \gamma|} \quad (56)$$

The quantity  $\eta$  in Eqs. (55),(56) is given by the expressions (48)-(51) if  $j_t < j^*$ . For large angles  $\gamma$  ( $tg\gamma \gg \xi/D$ ) and for current densities  $j_t > j^*$  we have

$$\eta = \eta_0 \left( \tilde{\beta} + \ln \left( \frac{1 + 2sR_m/\xi}{1 + 2s} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4s}} \right) \ln \left( \frac{\xi V_c B \cos \gamma}{R_m c |\bar{\mathbf{E}}_\perp|} \right) \right) \quad (57)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$  is the electric field component perpendicular to the  $z$  axis. The current-voltage characteristics in this case appear to be nonlinear at least for temperatures close to  $T_c$  ( $s \gtrsim 1$ ). Note that for negligibly small  $\sigma_1$  values the expression for  $\sigma_f$  (56) coincides with Eq. (1).

As was mentioned above, all these results are valid only in the angular interval  $tg\gamma < L_j/D$ . In the opposite case  $Dtg\gamma > L_j$  the Josephson coupling becomes very essential for the calculation of  $\eta$ . The solution of this problem will be described in detail elsewhere. The structure of the effective core in this case becomes more complicated [15]. We may distinguish two contributions to the viscosity coefficient ( $\eta = \eta_{2D} + \eta_j$ ) which result from the motion of 2D vortices ( $\eta_{2D}$ ) and Josephson-like vortices ( $\eta_j$ ) connecting 2D pancakes. As for the term  $\eta_{2D}$  (this contribution will be the leading one at least for  $\mathbf{j} \perp (xz)$ ), it has the form

$$\eta_{2D} \simeq \eta_0 \left( \beta + \ln \left( \frac{1 + 2sR_m/\xi}{1 + 2s} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4s}} \right) \ln \frac{\min[L_j, L_v]}{R_m} \right) \quad (58)$$

The logarithmic divergence of  $\eta_{2D}$  is cut off at a length scale  $L_j$  if  $L_j < L_v$ . For this angular interval the nonlinear effects in the vortex motion appear for  $V > V_c \xi / L_j$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have investigated theoretically some qualitatively new features of 2D pancake vortex dynamics in multilayer superconducting structures. The ohmic dissipation in nonsuperconducting layers results in the increasing of the viscosity coefficient. In the limit of zero interlayer Josephson coupling the viscosity coefficient for an isolated 2D vortex appears to be logarithmically divergent. This divergence is cut-off at a length scale set by the vortex velocity  $V$ . As a consequence the dynamic equation for an isolated 2D vortex appears to be essentially nonlinear: the viscosity coefficient depends logarithmically on  $V$ . The main contribution to ohmic dissipation in this case comes not from the core region ( $R \lesssim \xi$ ) but

from the large- $R$  domain ( $R \lesssim L_v$ ). Therefore, for rather small distances between pancakes the dissipation produced by their motion cannot be represented as a sum of contributions corresponding to individual 2D vortices. This fact was demonstrated above for the particular configuration of pancakes forming a tilted vortex line.

The equation of viscous motion for a vortex line is obtained and the effects of finite Josephson coupling are discussed. The viscosity coefficient is found to depend essentially on the distance in the  $x$ -direction between pancakes and hence on the tilting angle  $\gamma$ . For field orientations close to the layers the nonlinear effects in the vortex motion are shown to appear for  $V > V_c \xi / \min[L_j, Dtg\gamma]$ . These deviations from the ohmic behavior occur even for slowly moving flux lines when the transport current is much smaller than the Ginzburg-Landau critical current. In this nonlinear regime the velocity dependence of  $\eta$  coincides with that for an isolated 2D pancake. Note that the dynamics of 2D pancakes can be strongly affected by the interaction with defects of the crystalline structure, which we have ignored in this paper. For 2D vortices localized by linear defects in superconducting layers these phenomena were studied previously in Ref. [35].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been made possible by a fellowship of Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences and carried out under the research program of the International Center for Fundamental Physics in Moscow (ICFPM).

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.N.Bulaevskii, Usp.Fiz.Nauk.**116**, 449 (1975) [Sov.Phys.Usp.**18**, 514 (1976)]
- [2] L.N.Bulaevskii, Int.J.Mod.Phys.B**4**, 1849 (1990)
- [3] Q.Li, C.Kwon, X.X.Xi et al.,Phys.Rev.Lett. **69**, 2713 (1992)
- [4] J.-M.Triscone, P.Fivat, M.Andersson et al., Phys.Rev.B **50**, 1229 (1994)
- [5] V.M.Krasnov, N.F.Pedersen, V.A.Oboznov, Phys.Rev.B **50**, 1106 (1994)
- [6] M.L.Wilson, J.A.Cowen, Phys.Rev.B **49**, 6228 (1994)
- [7] R.Kleiner, P.Muller, H.Kohlstedt *et al.*, Phys.Rev.B **50**, 3942 (1994)
- [8] W.E.Lawrence and S.Doniach, in *Proc. of the 12-th Int. Conf. on Low Temperature Physics, Kyoto 1970*, ed.E.Kanda (Keigaku,1970),p.361.
- [9] V.Cataudella, P.Minnhagen, Physica C **166**, 442 (1990)
- [10] K.H.Fisher, Physica C **178**, 161 (1991)
- [11] S.N.Artemenko, A.N.Kruglov, Phys.Lett.A **143**, 485 (1990)
- [12] J.R.Clem, Phys.Rev.B **43**, 7837 (1991)
- [13] L.N.Bulaevskii, M.Ledvij, V.G.Kogan, Phys.Rev.B **46**, 366 (1992)
- [14] L.N.Bulaevskii, M.Ledvij, V.G.Kogan, Phys.Rev.B **46**, 11807 (1992)
- [15] D.Feinberg, Physica C **194**, 126 (1992)
- [16] C.Kramer, Physica C **234**, 155 (1994)
- [17] L.N.Bulaevskii, M.Ledvij, V.G.Kogan, Phys.Rev.Lett. **68**, 3773 (1992)
- [18] L.N.Bulaevskii, M.P.Maley, I.F.Shegolev, Physica B **197**, 506 (1994)
- [19] L.I.Glazman, A.E.Koshelev, Phys.Rev.B **43**, 2835 (1991)
- [20] V.M.Genkin,A.S.Mel'nikov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **95**, 2170 (1989) [Sov.Phys.JETP,**68(6)**, 1254 (1989)]
- [21] Z.Hao,J.R.Clem, IEEE Transactions on Magnetism **27**, 1086 (1991)
- [22] B.I.Ivlev,N.B.Kopnin, Europhys.Lett. **15(3)**, 349 (1991)
- [23] B.I.Ivlev,N.B.Kopnin, J.Low Temp.Phys. **77**, 413 (1989)
- [24] B.I.Ivlev,N.B.Kopnin, Phys.Rev.B **42**, 10052 (1990)
- [25] L.P.Gor'kov, N.B.Kopnin, Usp.Fiz.Nauk **116**, 413 (1975) [Sov.Phys.Usp.**18**, 496 (1976)]
- [26] R.J.Watts-Tobin, Y.Krahenbuhl, L.Kramer, J.Low Temp.Phys. **42**, 459 (1981)
- [27] L.P.Gor'kov, G.M.Eliashberg, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **54**, 612 (1968) [Sov.Phys.JETP,**27**, 328 (1968)]
- [28] M.Yu.Kupriyanov, K.K.Likharev, Pis'ma Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **15**, 349 (1972) [JETP Lett. **15**, 247 (1972)]
- [29] C.-R.Hu, Phys.Rev.B **6**, 1756 (1972)
- [30] A.Onuki, J.Phys.C **15**, L1089 (1982)
- [31] J.C.Neu, Physica D **43**, 385 (1990)
- [32] A.I.Larkin, Yu.N.Ovchinnikov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **68**, 1915 (1975) [Sov.Phys.JETP,**41**, 960 (1976)]
- [33] A.I.Larkin, Yu.N.Ovchinnikov, in *Nonequilibrium Superconductivity*, edited by D.N.Langenberg and A.I.Larkin (Elsevier, New York, 1986), p.493
- [34] D.Feinberg, C.Villard, Phys.Rev.Lett. **65**, 919 (1990)
- [35] R.G.Mints,I.B.Shapiro, Phys.Rev.B **49**, 6188 (1994)